IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

ANN M. GALLOWAY,

Plaintiff,

v. No. 14cv834 MV/GBW

STATE FARM FIRE & CASUALTY CO., et al.,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN DISTRICT COURT WITHOUT PREPAYING FEES OR COSTS

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs, Doc. 2, filed September 12, 2014 ("Application to proceed IFP"). For the reasons stated below, the Court will **DENY** Plaintiff's Application to proceed IFP.

Eligibility to Proceed In Forma Pauperis

The statute for proceedings *in forma pauperis*, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), provides that the Court may authorize the commencement of any suit without prepayment of fees by a person who submits an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets the person possesses and that the person is unable to pay such fees.

When a district court receives an application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, it should examine the papers and determine if the requirements of [28 U.S.C.] § 1915(a) are satisfied. If they are, leave should be granted. Thereafter, if the court finds that the allegations of poverty are untrue or that the action is frivolous or malicious, it may dismiss the case[.]

Menefee v. Werholtz, 368 Fed.Appx. 879, 884 (10th Cir. 2010) (citing Ragan v. Cox, 305 F.2d 58, 60 (10th Cir. 1962). "[A]n application to proceed *in forma pauperis* should be evaluated in light of the applicant's present financial status." *Scherer v. Kansas*, 263 Fed.Appx. 667, 669 (10th Cir.

2008) (citing *Holmes v. Hardy*, 852 F.2d 151, 153 (5th Cir.1988)). While the Court should not deny a person the opportunity to proceed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) simply because he or she is not "absolutely destitute," the Court may deny permission for a person to proceed IFP where his or her monthly income exceeds his or her monthly expenses by a few hundred dollars. *See Brewer v. City of Overland Park Police Department*, 24 Fed.Appx. 977, 979 (10th Cir. 2002).

Plaintiff's Application shows that her monthly income is \$2824.00, that her monthly expenses are \$1940.00, and that she has \$100.00 in a bank account. Because Plaintiff's monthly income exceeds her monthly expenses by \$884.00, the Court will deny Plaintiff's application to proceed IFP. *See Brewer v. City of Overland Park Police Department*, 24 Fed.Appx. 977, 979 (10th Cir. 2002) (litigant whose monthly income exceeded his monthly expenses by a few hundred dollars according to his own accounting appeared to have sufficient income to pay filing fees, and, thus, was not entitled to IFP status).

Plaintiff shall have 14 days from entry of this Order to pay the filing fee. Failure to timely pay the filing fee may result in dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint without prejudice.

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Doc. 2) is **DENIED**.

IT IS ALSO ORDERED that Plaintiff is **GRANTED** 14 days in which to pay the filing fee.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2